

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/677,126		09/30/2003	James McClure	08168-048001	3901		
20985	7590	12/09/2004	· · · · · ·	EXAMINER			
FISH & RI 12390 EL C				PRINCE, FRED G			
SAN DIEGO	O, CA 9	2130-2081		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1724			
				DATE MAIL ED. 12/00/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 12/00/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

l (PTO-892) atent Drawing Review (PTO-948 tement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE 	·) 3/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ory (PTO-413) Date I Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			
ı	ı						
detailed Office action for a	a list of the certi	fied copies not recei	ived.				
າ from the International Bເ	ureau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)),		tage			
the certified copies of the	priority docume	n received in Applic	ation No				
copies of the priority documents of the priority documents.	nents have bee	n received.	at an N				
	monto hava basa						
t is made of a claim for for ne * c)⊡ None of:	reign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
§ 119							
aration is objected to by th	ne Examiner. N	ote the attached Offi	ice Action or form PTC	D-152.			
wing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is requir	red if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1 121(d)			
request that any objection to	o the drawing(s)	be held in abevance	See 37 CER 1 85(a)				
iled on is/are: a)□	l accepted or b	Objected to by the	o Eveminer				
is objected to by the Exa	miner						
			,				
are subject to restriction a	and/or election i	requirement.					
is/are objected to.				•			
/are rejected.							
is/are allowed.	indiawii ilojii ce	onsideration,					
e claim(s) is/are wit	alion. Ihdrawn from ce	onsidoration					
s/are pending in the applic	4 !						
		,	,				
dance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex parte</i> Q	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	momo io			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
communication(s) filed on	12 January 20	04.					
available under the provisions of 37 of the mailing date of this communicatied above is less than thirty (30) days cified above, the maximum statutory of or extended period for reply will, by ffice later than three months after the ent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no e tion. s, a reply within the sta period will apply and o	atutory minimum of thirty (30 will expire SIX (6) MONTHS) days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this co	mmunication.			
TUTORY PERIOD FOR F OF THIS COMMUNICAT	ION.						
TUTODY DEDICE SEE							
DATE of this communication			he correspondence add	dress			
	Fred Pri		1724				
tion Summary							
				0,			
	tion Summary	10/677,	Application No. 10/677,126 Examiner	10/677,126 MCCLURE ET AL.			

Application/Control Number: 10/677,126

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9, 11, 13-15, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Etani et al.

Etani et al. teach a removable filter (165) near the water outlet (150) of a floodable compartment (150), a strainer (160) surrounding the filter, the second end of the strainer contacting the compartment (Fig. 5), the strainer having a handle (174), wherein the filter and strainer may be removed simultaneously (col. 4, lines 44-46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Etani et al. in view of Dye.

Etani et al. is described above. Etani et al. do not disclose using a bag as a strainer.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,126

Art Unit: 1724

In any case, Dye discloses the well-known concept of using a bag (16) as a strainer in order to retain debris.

It would have been readily obvious for the skilled artisan to have modified the strainer of Etani et al. by using a bag in order to retain debris, as suggested by Dye.

5. Claims 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Etani et al. in view of Keith.

Etani et al. is described above. Etani et al. do not disclose using a strap as a handle.

In any case, Keith discloses the well-known concept of using a strap (26) in order to easily remove a strainer from a floodable compartment (col. 3, lines 49-59).

It would have been readily obvious for the skilled artisan to modify the invention of Etani et al. such that it includes a strap in order to easily remove a strainer from a floodable compartment, as suggested by Keith.

6. Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Etani et al. in view of Lincke.

Etani et al. is described above. Etani et al. do not disclose using an expansion ring.

In any case, Lincke discloses using a ring (80,82) to engage a vertical surface (col. 3, lines 29-32).

It would have been readily obvious for the skilled artisan to modify the invention of Etani et al. such that it includes a ring to engage a vertical surface, as suggested by Lincke.

Art Unit: 1724

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References are cited of interest to show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Prince whose telephone number is (571) 272-1165. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00; alt. Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fred Prince
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724